

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/868,356	08/10/2001		Thomas D. Gordon	00537-188002	7156
37903	7590	03/26/2004	EXAMINER		INER
DAWN JA		ΛT	COLEMAN, BRENDA LIBBY		
BIOMEASURE INC. 27 MAPLE STREET				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MILFORD,	MA 017	57		1624	
				DATE MAILED: 03/26/200-	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Applicant(s) GORDON ET AL. Art Unit 1624 the correspondence address
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	Examiner Brenda L. Coleman ars on the cover sheet with	Art Unit 1624
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	Brenda L. Coleman ars on the cover sheet with	1624
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with	
		the correspondence address
renou for Reply	IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MON	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply will. If NO period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period will. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, concept years and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 I apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS ause the application to become ABANI	y be timely filed 0) days will be considered timely. S from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 Jan 2a) ⊠ This action is FINAL. 2b) □ This a 3) □ Since this application is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under Ex	action is non-final. se except for formal matters	•
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-16 and 19-21 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-4,6,8,11,15,16,20 and 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 5,7,9,10,12-14 and 19 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or expending in the ap 4a and 19 is/are pending in the ap 4a and 19-21	n <u>d 21</u> is/are withdrawn from	consideration.
Application Papers		
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accept accep	oted or b) objected to by rawing(s) be held in abeyance. on is required if the drawing(s)	. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign p a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priorit application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Appl y documents have been red (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	lication No ceived in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/M	nmary (PTO-413) fail Date mal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/868,356

Art Unit: 1624

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-16 and 19-21 are pending in the application.

This action is in response to applicant's amendment filed January 14, 2004.

Claims 1, 9, 10, 15 and 16 have been amended and claims 20 and 21 are newly added.

Election/Restrictions

1. Claims 1-4, 6, 8, 11, 15, 16 and newly added claims 20 and 21 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention where n1 = 0, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in Paper No. 10.

This application contains claims 1-4, 6, 8, 11, 15, 16 and newly added claims 20 and 21 are drawn to an invention nonelected with traverse in Paper No. 10. A complete reply to the final rejection must include cancellation of nonelected claims or other appropriate action (37 CFR 1.144) See MPEP § 821.01.

Response to Amendment

Applicant's amendments and arguments filed January 14, 2004 have been fully considered with the following effect:

2. With regards to the rejection of claims 1, 2, 5, 7 and 14-18 as being drawn to an improper Markush group, the applicants stated that they have amended claim 1 to limit the claimed compound to compounds containing an imidazobenzodiazepine ring, in particular, n1 = 0 has been deleted thus requiring that the central ring be a 7-membered ring. However, this is not so, the applicants have amended claim 1 such that n1 = 0

Application/Control Number: 09/868,356 Page 3

Art Unit: 1624

which is drawn to non-elected invention. The applicants also stated that, it is now a requirement that R¹⁰ form a benzene ring with either R⁷ or R⁶ and that these amendments eliminate the possibility that the central ring is a 6-membered ring or that a benzene group is not fused to the central ring. Applicants submit that the instant application is now directed solely to Group I pursuant to the Restriction required by the Office Action mailed November 13, 2002 (Paper 7). However, Group I in the Restriction required by the Office Action mailed November 13, 2002 is directed to compounds, compositions and method of use of the compounds of formula I where n1 = 1 and formula I forms an **imidazobenzodiazepine** ring, i.e. requires further fusion. Upon further review claims 5 and 7 are withdrawn from the rejection as being directed solely to compounds of formula I where n1 = 1 and formula I forms an imidazobenzodiazepine ring and claims 1, 2 and 16 are withdrawn as being directed to a non-elected invention.

Claim 14 is rejected as being drawn to an improper Markush group. The recited compounds, while possessing a common utility, differ widely in structure and are not art-recognized equivalents and are thus, independently distinct for the reasons set forth in the restriction requirement, for reasons of record and stated above.

3. The applicants amendments are sufficient to overcome the 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph rejection of claims 15, 17 and 18, labeled paragraph 4) in the last office action, which is hereby **withdrawn**. Claim 15 is currently withdrawn and claims 17 and 18 were canceled by amendment.

Application/Control Number: 09/868,356

Art Unit: 1624

- 4. The applicants amendments are sufficient to overcome the 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph rejections of claims 9, 10, 17 and 18, labeled paragraph 5) in the last office action, which are hereby **withdrawn**.
- 5. The applicants amendments are sufficient to overcome the obviousness-type double patenting rejection of claims 15-18, labeled paragraph 6) in the last office action, which is hereby **withdrawn**. Claim 15 and 16 are currently withdrawn and claims 17 and 18 were canceled by amendment.

In view of the amendment dated January 14, 2004, the following new grounds of rejection apply:

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 6. Claims 5, 7, 9, 10, 12-14 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The following reasons apply:
 - a) Claim 5 recites the limitation "1" in the definition of n1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
 - b) Claim 7 recites the limitation "1" in the definition of n1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Application/Control Number: 09/868,356 Page 5

Art Unit: 1624

c) Claim 9 recites the limitation "imidazo[1,2-c][1,4]benzodiazepine" in the nomenclature of the species. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

- d) Claim 10 recites the limitation "imidazo[1,2-c][1,4]benzodiazepine" in the nomenclature of the species. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- e) Claim 12 recites the limitation "imidazo[1,2-a][1,4]benzodiazepine" in the nomenclature of the species. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- f) Claim 13 recites the limitation "imidazo[1,2-a][1,4]benzodiazepine" in the nomenclature of the species. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- g) Claim 14 recites the limitation "imidazo[1,2-c][1,4]benzodiazepine or imidazo[1,2-a][1,4]benzodiazepine " in the nomenclature of the species. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- h) Claim 19 recites the limitation "imidazo[1,2-c][1,4]benzodiazepine" in the nomenclature of the species. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP

Application/Control Number: 09/868,356

Art Unit: 1624

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brenda L. Coleman whose telephone number is 571-272-0665. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30-6:00 Monday - Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mukund Shah can be reached on 571-272-0674. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

Page 7

Application/Control Number: 09/868,356

Art Unit: 1624

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Brenda Coleman

Primary Examiner Art Unit 1624

March 24, 2004